2009 Iowa Plan RFP Bid Evaluation Scoring Tool

TECHNICAL COMPONENT

7A.2 Programmatic Overview ---- 60%

This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 150 pages. Does it exceed? YN?

$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.2 Enrollees 65 and Older	Sub-Section Score (circle one): [Meets With Distinction] (?) Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 7A.2.2 Did the bidder describe the experience it has in treating individuals aged 65 and older? Did the bidder identify other states in which coverage has been provided? If so, do the referenced examples demonstrate experience that will benefit efforts to serve Iowans 65 and older? Did the bidder identify challenges and identify strategies for surmounting any identified challenges? Did the examples demonstrate a thorough understanding of the population and how to serve it? If there any recommended additions to the provider network as part of the proposal intended to better serve those aged 6 5 and older, do they appear appropriate and likely to be effective? Is there a proposed transition plan to ensure the continuity of care while enrolling the population into the Iowa Plan, including a communication plan? Is the communication plan sufficiently detailed and does it demonstrate an approach that is appropriate and likely to be effective? 	AZ. experiace: Ambassalus pogom > CSAP Bat Portice SVDS+ SVESIK prompion OH. ZCM - integrated miller -> co-robor tim of needs = matis it services, chestogs: social isolation; co-ordination to them PHT BH X chestra Parpart: common-directal, ETAE + Projectotypa i Malios has X Commy Visios: pre-programmal all proone Troining State willes to Trafity of Olde Enables: proto-facetal approach: ty, social reports; technology; T procoss. Network: Parimal CSP; T wave based army BH Cat has to legar more action of commanity GHys. Transition: Defect of Continuity of Carl: could be more delifabled S: identify high read: (how?)

Laten: Needs Hivament.

 $\sqrt{7}$ A.2.3.a) Coordination and Integration of Services (Sections 4.1, 4A, 4B, and 5A of the RFP)

(2)

Sub-Section Score (circle one):

Meets With Distinction \

Meets

Partially Meets

Fails to Meet

- Did the bidder describe the strategies it would take to coordinate and integrate service delivery for each of the five types of Eligible Persons and Enrollees? Eligible Persons with:
 - (1) concurrent mental health and substance abuse conditions
 - (2) concurrent mental health and/or substance abuse conditions plus concurrent medical conditions
 - (3) concurrent mental health and/or substance abuse conditions and involved with the adult correctional system

Enrollees with:

- (4) concurrent mental health needs and mental retardation Eligible Persons with:
- (5) mental health and/or substance abuse conditions with involvement with the child welfare/juvenile justice system)
- Are the strategies appropriate and are they likely to be effective?
- Do they effectively embody the philosophy and program goals in that they, among other things:
 - emphasize honoring Eligible Persons' choice of service provider,
 - promote the philosophy that Eligible Persons should be able to remain in their homes and communities, and
 - demonstrate that the bidder is committed to working with all providers serving the enrollees to ensure blended and coordinated service delivery?
- 4. Did the bidder provide examples of its experience in other states with respect to coordination and integration of services and how it will be applied in Iowa? Is the experience relevant and likely to be beneficial to Iowa?

- D team bodel Sus planing (he below) gods for SUDS alog portable of effections enjoyern); Lonly; return to nothtra. - year for starting fauth. 3 soor publis - 12 may 1 - CIP - Frosty Along
- (2) CSP- Med by unity medial surviva for 1/23 pipe le fra. athent in 10/ program UNIX SK KIZI Auto to x pure integration of which In . > Heister Ann polyphorming iline - PMUTE program.
- (3) Build upon 2 4: 1/1 pagams in JA. Family Dug Court : and + in pany accent to sus. Tail to legam Inglement a Common & Reenty Pagain: though investment &. Gardend & Brides to men innotes he are rehablighed before in collaboration of 24 h Nove Advice line - facility account took]
 frontal (from Flow consisting) November of possible does its summy) November ato w t.
- (4) CIL-h-AJLT DMIDS _ b.7 Werk.
- (6) Buldon existing family family family besis in No king model to be expensed laund in the AR. weeking muthy & synthetically 15- placement issues.

 Frecheta communication but mean an systems year this invalual -include child a family to hope that additionally in family 1. It

System Transformation: bass to retree frozen trafin : Tethiciency

Partin face a service deling-recommy constitute

Petizida gertain atmin their storms

a yours: identify over & implement first; SCDV; reserved through grants.

competitive trave Paids: contact to ind whose of a paid yeter - white adapted from the bridge land who will be the form of the failed from the land the first plants of the form of the first plants of the form of the first plants of the first plants of the first plants of the first plants of the first of

Sub-Section Score (circle one): √7A.2.4 Rehabilitation, Recovery, and Strength-Based Approach to Services Meets Meets With Distinction **Partially Meets** Fails to Meet (Sections 4.A.2 and 4.B.2 of the RFP) Paterial spacet in Az: Transform In Ham; noted 12th, insumes: Model: Joint 7t. Planing term I thing aying intermed to come of the common of the comm 1. Does the bidder's proposal include a detailed explanation of its experience providing behavioral health services through a recovery-oriented approach? Does the bidder's proposal describe in detail the model it proposes to implement? 3. Does the bidder's proposal recognize the priority for effecting change during the contract period? Does the response provide details for realistic actions that the bidder intends to take during the contract period to affect change? Does the response specifically identify the bidder's approach with respect to: Contractor interactions with Eligible Persons? service system planning and design? (3) provider adoption of a rehabilitation, recovery and strength-based approach to services? (4) Into A has; (mounty Feedhoen Forms - grately near region. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?

. Contact Forekhan , XI Athlikes + Reiden - Performant Exposent Work Many . Prhanona mentij - Monitary Commengant.
Training; Related him Manig makeful themen's vacature (Amont)

trafting can-to-dul wais

Juen her to harden formar has: specificist (mount fam) Miny Cornib

Then her to harden Common has: specificist (mount fam) Miny Cornib

Common Rafagean Coalachin - anhabyagean

Common Rafagean - fordul beauty (2) they will hive conser for much is light : Racy + Penling Advisor.

Annal Skyra Reduction Plan. - amounty sinusion? Anding to reduce shipmen Nothing my): (10), benchmark to explying consums & Chrisol Anih Gains mater partes he reing +

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.5 Person-Centered Care (Section 7A.2.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.5.a)	
 Does the bidder's response describe the philosophy of how to best involve Eligible Persons in the planning of their care? 	- Reliat that people can a do receiver term ment lithen a try Known bush what periods a strate to syn- + recons
2. Does the description include:	
 how the bidder intends to assure that the Eligible Person and, as appropriate, family members, participate in treatment planning? descriptions of instances in which the bidder has successfully employed such strategies under other contracts? 	- Contact og item to; and this; and to framing of parts - Ht. never improvements - CFT - Children 408 to 95% - Competens it agrees to Etg. multi-backet speech.
3. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	Caption a land for TX Term Facilities
4. Do the cited examples of experience demonstrate working knowledge that will benefit Iowa?	- Competens the agreets Etg. multi-facethe speech. Copred leve on the few : Rayong bib. Fly. blu Ford; Cathologia lyan for Tx Teem Face. letter Jah, Troni) + Technical Assistant - All example Commer - Am Assemment - how is Copre to thing?
7A.2.5.b)	
 Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to the implementation of strategies to involve Eligible Persons in the planning of their care? 	

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
	2.6 Covered Services, Required Services, Optional Services Sections 4A.3, 4A.4 and 4B.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
$\sqrt{7}$	'A.2.6.a)	CSF foreigh you build nothing for neder		
1.	Is the bidder's proposed strategy to ensure statewide capacity sufficiently detailed to understand what it intends to do?	19 it is sure - dealer down the years		
2.	Is the bidder's proposed strategy appropriate and likely to be effective?	It appears a exert what is for, hill if CIP promises?		
$\sqrt{2}$	7A.2.6.b)	psychisty - on heling in a how had		
1.	Does the analysis include an identification of service gaps <i>and</i> the basis on which the bidder has made its determination?	psychisty - On heling in a how hard 125 Pen-Ty creps of Geo Accum righty - How the many - Collected die for funder rows in mild sorry had helinger and record		
2.	Was the bidder's methodology to identify service gaps comprehensive, rigorous, and valid?	427 - Collected de for turn Panche reus		
3.	Were any major gaps of which the evaluator is aware missed?	Le ht suns No. 12 eg		
4.	Does the bidder's proposal for how the gaps would be addressed seem appropriate?	197 (c) Fryhl		
5.	Did the bidder provide a plan for addressing the gaps, with an implementation timeline?	Yes all law law as		
6.	Did the bidder address the following areas in its plan in a comprehensive and informed fashion: Level I Sub-acute Facility services delivery?	YS - arely 2d bilish poder. YS SA reg in I - cet many		
	24 hour mental health stabilization services?Substance abuse peer support/recovery coaching?	head on Not marks.		
7.	Are the plan and timeline for addressing the service gaps appropriate and likely to be effective to enable the bidder to make all required mental health services available to the majority of Iowa Plan enrollees by the end of the second contract year?	Not sup_ Or few, b. h.b.)? on higher hours will regard MA.		

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.6 Covered Services, Required Services, Optional Services (Sections 4A.3, 4A.4 and 4B.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
√7A.2.6.c)	
 Did the bidder describe the process by which integrated mental health services and supports will be authorized? If so, does the process appear to be appropriate and utilizing appropriately skilled staff? 	Sort of - will not your A - will be not away only het are?
2. Did the bidder provide any parameters that would be implemented to guide the authorization of integrated services and supports? If so, do the parameters appear to be appropriate?	+71 hendlæernig - fortunde om M
5. Did the bidder provide examples of comparable past experience providing integrated mental health services and supports? If so, do the cited examples demonstrate working knowledge that will benefit Iowa?	Interfellines - Julians - conte / tow-
7A.2.6.d)	A? examp - Rot Drophe Committee
 Did the bidder describe how it will incorporate evidence-based practice into its management and how it will impact the services offered through the Iowa Plan? 	A 2. exomph - Rot Dechle Committee V97. Shay CBPs - include shelolder, consimus, from) it can be - Recons fresition, where menting. V97. Fineline & Holyton + Inplementary. ZDDT
2. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	197. Timeline & Holy + Indimine 3 DDT
7A.2.6.e)	Collebrackle (v
1. Does the bidder identify any services for which it will not reimburse due to moral or religious grounds?	(This response should not be scored. The question is for informational purposes only)
If yes, is there a complete explanation of these services?	Recorder & Rell
	10000

Monther mild some for Ret Programment

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
7A.2.7 Organization of Utilization Management Staff (Section 5A.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
7A.2.7.a)			
 Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff, including: number of staff? credentials and expertise? the rationale for the mix of expertise? roles of different types of staff? methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region? Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery systems appropriate and likely to be effective? Is the proposed approach to ensure continuity for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective? 	Describes an stating is relis t responsibilities; qualities to a challestim area resimo. - any god - hispatin; an stating at similar sized aparatures to another while stating for any. - same of an extended for history. Lage much of an extended to for for hims [that wish to be award to constructed. That wish to be aparabled: sould describe the forestiment of the aparable to sould describe the forestiment. [child by the Aty?] less Rower? [child by the Aty?] less Rower?		
7A.2.7.b)			
1. Did the bidder's other clients for which it has organized UM staff to maximize coordination with local service systems confirm the effectiveness of the bidder's performance?			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
7A.2.8.a)	I for for highest hubs at		
1. Do the UM Guidelines the bidder would use in authorizing mental health services appear to be appropriate?	yes - in what pytherial criticis - only for the highest hubset		
2. If the bidder attached guidelines for the application of ASAM criteria, do the guidelines the bidder would use for the authorization or retrospective monitoring of substance abuse services appear to be appropriate?	MA - will be Abon- Mr-2R		
7A.2.8.b)	(mus)		
 Did the bidder describe how UM Guidelines would generally be applied to authorize or retrospectively review services? 	,		
2. Did the bidder address how it would both manage the appropriateness of treatment duration and also manage potentially high volumes of service requests?	- Howater and by to allessed: did not allow menaging high volumes of regerts.		
3. Does the approach to outpatient service authorization address management of appropriateness review in a manner likely to be efficient <u>and</u> effective?	must sent populing - collaboration the ether) i prombe : means a terms, consume satisfaction: sheat his for possibly outtone - out some how affect on a technic trus mile she affective of T synn this		
7A.2.8.c)	(Mub) in 12.		
1. Did the bidder discuss special issues in applying the guidelines for at least some of the following services and populations:	Bith Airvoid specialines to earl the filling unis and		
 i. substance abuse services for pregnant and parenting women? ii. substance abuse services provided to Enrollees in PMICs? iii. mental health inpatient services provided to Enrollee children in state mental health institutes? iv. Eligible Persons with concurrent need for both mental health and substance abuse treatment? v. Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)? 	populations; Zem inclusion of this (Iem inclusion); Inclusion of this (Iem inclu		
 If so, does the bidder appear to have a thorough understanding of what special issues might arise and of how to address them? Were there any issues the evaluator felt should be addressed that were omitted? 	- Appear to thought unlessed inco in applying building to affect		

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7 A .:	2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.:	2.8.d)	1 common front; of	(mu)) 161 Th	50 Bt. 6.
1.	Did the bidder list any services or levels of care for which prior authorization would not be required?	notes & community front; of non herfal! phl; day to; Is ap for at I have IN h.	(anonb) M	ship in the co	
2.	Do the levels of care for which the bidder has indicated it won't require prior authorization appear to be appropriate, given both access to care and cost management objectives?	is shown by the mail	m forduler)	ter curte thugh hi	
3.	Did the bidder describe a QI-related circumstance that would lead the bidder to request state approval for prior authorization?	cond stouby y by of other	7)	Interes I'm is is	no tel
4.	Does the prior authorization circumstance demonstrate experience and knowledge? Does the quality improvement circumstance example align with care and cost management objectives?	frankling veil layard	afand fer	eta + from della	^e \ .
7A.	2.8.e)		hun	/	- to referrable
1.	Did the bidder describe how it would self-evaluate the clinical effectiveness and administrative efficiency of UM authorization processes?	- yes : mon tung dinis lands prev raview, advante occ	y ACOS, Al	blow to + soul has	
2.	Does the bidder's proposal to self-evaluate the clinical effectiveness and administrative efficiency of the authorization processes rely upon robust and meaningful measurement of performance?	48 (suchers)			
3.	Did the bidder describe circumstances under which it might waive prospective review requirements for certain providers?				
4.	Does the bidder's description of circumstances under which prospective utilization review might be waived for certain providers demonstrate a well-reasoned approach to balancing appropriate utilization management with limiting administrative requirements of providers?	ys- pail publis	reval o	Hhune to m. L. Lichn to ke	olitate n colonte

TA 2 C IVilliantian Management Caridalinas (Costion EA 2 of the DED)		Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.	2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.	2.8.f)		(hui))	
1.	Did the bidder describe how it would operationalize the state's concepts of "psychosocial necessity" and "service need"?	yes - thuyh HAM-A	M-28 4	urdistrilly know	you they
2.	Did the description contrast the proposed approach with that used for "medical necessity' under other contracts, or if not applicable, explain how the concepts differ?	you : explain different	defort	4 .	
3.	Does the bidder's approach for operationalizing the state's concept of "psychosocial necessity" in the authorization process for nental health services align with the state's objectives, as put forth in Section 5A.3.1 of the RFP?	(?)			
2.	Did the bidder's distinction between "medical necessity" and the concepts of "psychosocial necessity" and "service need convey a good understanding of how the approaches differ?	,		dr tsixich	
7A.	2.8.g)		(mus) N. 16	bout Luis
1,	Did the bidder describe the process the bidder would implement for the administrative authorization of services (when contractual requirements mandate the authorization and reimbursement for services that do not fall within the contractor's UM guidelines)?	dry apris to lahay h	and fet 1	enis -tecitif	
2.	Does the process the bidder proposes for implementing the administrative authorization of services appear to be appropriate?	ye,	<i>;</i> ,		
3.	Did the bidder include in its description the way in which the bidder would allow for authorization for services provided during all the months of enrollment even if Medicaid eligibility is determined after the initiation of services?	yes a tracefle hich	t orle.		
4.	Does it appear that this process treats providers fairly and will be effective?	Y 97			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.h) 1. Did the bidder describe how it would provide Intensive Clinical Management to	- described of con prision - not a timbe!
certain Iowa Plan Enrollees, and the relationship of those activities to Targeted Case Management?	enemage compas to contact i CSP's for TCM We not clear non occupant osplace /relate??
2. Does the bidder's process for providing Intensive Clinical Management appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	7/97 2cm n. Falinia:
3. Is the bidder's proposed relationship of Intensive Clinical Management and Targeted Case Management appropriate and likely to be effective?	Tem = tangent toms four to four intraction Elm = Amen's needs/ Horstons, quality as topling in Meiro (OCA) Meiro (OCA)
7A.2.8.i)	(Melp) clocal portions.
1. Did the bidder describe how it would provide 24 hour crisis management?	distryush coisis intratation from coisis my). Contained.
2. Is the bidder's proposed approach to provision of 24-hour crisis management reflective of the current state of that service in Iowa, appropriate, and likely to be effective?	will a Health lamport of applicable
3. Did the bidder provide examples of how that service has been provided in other states?	48- Normanie 24 m. anne Mrie (in - At
4. Do the bidder's examples demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	197. Expand constitution thay com to very find.

7A.2.9 Required Elements of Individual Service Coordination & Treatment Planning (Sections 1.9, 4B.2.2 and 5A.5 of the RFP)

7A.2.9.a)

- 1. Did the bidder describe the 24-hour crisis and referral service that the Bidder would make available to Eligible Persons, including:
 - how the Bidder would ensure the availability of clinicians with expertise in providing mental health and substance abuse services to children?
 - how the 24-hour crisis and referral service would interface with the emergency crisis service system?
- 2. Does it appear that the bidder's 24-hour crisis and referral service utilizes appropriately trained staff?
- 3. Does it appear that the bidder's 24-hour crisis and referral service would provide sufficient access to clinicians with child mental health and substance abuse expertise?
- 2. Does the bidder's response depict a process that would ensure that the 24-hour crisis and referral service appropriately and effectively interfaces with the emergency crisis service system?

$\sqrt{7A.2.9.b}$

- 1. Did the bidder describe a process for identifying those Eligible Persons who have demonstrated the need for a high level of services or who are at risk of high utilization of services?
- 2. Does the bidder's process for identifying those Eligible Persons appear to capture all of those in need of individual service coordination and treatment planning in a timely and efficient manner?
- 3. Did the bidder describe how it would initiate ongoing treatment planning and coordination with the Iowa Plan Eligible Persons and all others appropriate for planning the Eligible Person's treatment?
- 4. Does the bidder's process for initiating ongoing treatment planning and coordination appear to be appropriate and likely to be effective?

Sub-Section Score (circle one):

Meets With Distinction

Meets

Partially Meets

Fails to Meet

musti-lavel appendi: -24/7 cosis and: November

- CSP corpority: invest in

mustices thems T tops his

copority key

- First response training
alendation official covered to A miles to

construct the

- Cisis Alening - on the to

will be as the feel at time of call

- Co-colorated learner appears to cois

Ref-17:

Extremise strategies/pagners for itentify high nik:

super land, strates of pagners for itentify high nik:

super land, strates of pagners for itentify partitles, family memberfull

amounty apport: from citain : animal highter community is

pathen of coancete.

Somethine: greatly eleins review; mistaical dets: Anichteris mul.

CIR.

the planing implemites recovery principles: -> consume an; pred

specialists of family support partitudes. -> I sold that

ce stough-navel of good focul.

ten whelver collectionable processes is cliptally to promise do

promule eligible directed cool. Provide Citailors from partitudes

The part sor.

- this am lived one (1-odin to for 110is) (any) as the tes

- That de plans) from 216 to and

- conjunty - pergamolen pre.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.9 Required Elements of Individual Service Coordination & Treatment Planning (Sections 1.9, 4B2.2 and 5A.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.9.c)	middled alk Tx Fother land towning of Judge mil turin IA courts
Did the bidder describe the program the bidder would implement in conjunction with officers of the courts to assure that court-ordered treatment complies with substance abuse criteria and therefore is reimbursable through the Iowa Plan?	middled of the fitter land framing of Julys mil turin IA 10005 in 19 to in hims hisden of mining in the special colors to - turning in very of classings: use Integral colors to wooding trings - make number of ipportuniting recorded when miling to ining as well.
2. Does the bidder's proposed program appear appropriate and likely to succeed?	I have me tred years
√7A.2.9.d)	- committed this type of and return y will make ? INTE & extension ising change works.
Did the bidder describe a process for actively promoting and ensuring coordination by Iowa Plan network providers with Enrollees' primary care physicians?	
Is the proposed process for promoting and ensuring coordination appropriate and likely to be effective?	Herston Parisont: Received in T+ - Chitaining in the responding of his around to, ario, du sous plans - psych suls, leb esults; openwellts - returnst into in the colonial with a common distable in the colonial with the colonial coloni
3. Did the bidder describe how it would assess network provider compliance with the care coordination requirements?	Rint Tx Manin , the the fitte and a struck
4. Is the proposed process for ensuring compliance, inclusive of any measurement and reporting activities, appropriate and likely to be effective?	grandes and co-rellactly 7 peps they that
5. Did the bidder provide results of monitoring efforts conducted for other clients to verify that coordination had been occurring effectively?	Commission ple Man: If paides by firm to PEP // will they sit is need 72.3 ?? Red more programing / sypno, the make this a calcage mechanism.
6. Do the bidder's examples of monitoring efforts document an effective process?	reall will they plit is make this
7. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to promoting and ensuring coordination by network providers and primary care physicians?	Carl Condinter's releis to syphist (o-ordinter).

Markey: Kregeidments; taining; chart cedits.

At menting ether! should worklike increase in

compliance from 08 to 168.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
√7A.2.10 Children in Transition (Section 5A.6.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.10.a)	Tran heavily of the Forter Care Proper of secures MAN.
1. Did the bidder provide comprehensive and detailed descriptions of experience transitioning children from inpatient settings, including specific examples of hospital and PMIC-like entities?	Tran hear) or the Forfer Care Pregnand recomes There. Specifically regime I mild is the - will bring this mild? will it to IA The COPS - is this a feerful mild? will it
2. Did the bidder provide successful strategies for putting in place effective discharge placement from such settings?	refuble. Texs xin men - will my x JA- was clay = familis to hot ships + who imports.
3. Does the bidder's described experience demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	who im to the list to the stages .

At - Child+ Fami) to tam > 12 int toplemi).

(P, They underhand the Pomice "on the process") - plinted?

And Thus pregram early - plinted?

And Thus pregram early - plinted?

Who is the child of spech as append to

white is the child of spech as a process of the child of

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
7A.2.11 Appeal Process (Section 5B.2 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
7A.2.11.a)			
 Did the bidder describe a process and provide an accompanying flowchart for the review of Enrollee appeals? 			
2. Does the flowchart provide timeframes from receipt of the request, and through each review phase, up to notification?	Anad		
3. Is the described process consistent with the requirements contained in Section 5B.2 of the RFP, including the following and other requirements:	Apply May is completed to k regularooks		
 provision of written notice acknowledging the receipt of a request for review and reasonable assistance with filing appeals, if requested? 			
 100% of all expedited appeals will be resolved within 3 working days of receipt of an appeal. All non-expedited appeals shall be resolved within 14 days of the receipt of the appeal and 100% shall be resolved within 45 days of the receipt of the appeal? 			
 provision of a written notice of disposition that includes the requirements outlined in 5B.2.11 of the RFP? 			

Family signed heardists - decede daily

appel pure.

Enellie has to ask to Agricontal an.

V	
7A.2.12 Grievance and Complaint Process (Sections 5B.1, 5B.3 and 5B.4 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.12.a)	
 Did the bidder describe the processes it would put in place for the review of Enrollees grievances and Eligible Persons complaints? Is the described process consistent with the requirements contained in Section 5B.3 of 	Correce at a comprise stold delize this)
the RFP, including the following and other requirements:	
 Enrollees or their designees may initiate a grievance either orally, to be followed up in writing, or just in writing; complaints from DPH-eligible participants regarding treatment programs will be directed to DPH? provision of written notice acknowledging the receipt of a the grievance? 	No de they get that complaints are for their
 rendering all decisions in writing with notice of right to additional review and information on the process to initiate additional review? 	Appear to comp of townsend times or
 95% of all complaints and grievances shall be resolved within 14 days of receipt of all required documentation and 100% shall be resolved within 90 days of the receipt of all required documentation? 	Control somme on Contracts of long lands
	L) agget ook to be previous h Corpodio's GA + PI Committee

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.13.a)	Commit to must acconnect of Elighton in even of county feel
1. Did the bidder describe how it would ensure that the provider network is adequate and that access is maintained or increased to meet the needs of Iowa Plan Eligible Persons?	Tub: (Re-Acon-, Density & Asset Analysis & Myong to intity inologocies in numbers of capacity of prothe pants.
2. Does the proposed approach to ensuring an adequate provider network and access appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	Thebroim to Parent rychists -use out it ships 14 1. could pychistoris.
3. Did the bidder identify where there are potential issues of lack of capacity within the Bidder's network, and steps it would take to increase capacity?	construction of CSP3 - Allewiss - direct person +/- construction of CSP3 - Allewiss
4. Are the identified potential issues reflective of the current Iowa service system?	
5. Are the proposed steps to increase capacity appropriate and likely to be effective?	TACT They had the huastment leventhes for program - train The train model.
6. Did the bidder provide examples from current contracts of how it has ensured network adequacy in states with a shortage of psychiatrists or other specific behavioral health professionals?	Sugn in AZ to incoluse the / renoved
7. Do the bidder's examples from other states demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	Have Ply dow frankling analysis of CIP model in
7A.2.13.b)	Consider in soleties in soleties in access for poper. At
 Did the bidder describe proposed strategies to bring services to underserved communities, including, but not limited to, for: 	- recruit Fatte) + 1840) to expand concentry anto
the use of telehealth and distance treatment options?provision of child psychiatric consultation services to primary care clinicians?	- expline fear, hits of teleholts for projets theys - report & parters RHE to T coping to how much
2. Do the bidder's proposed strategies to bring services to underserved communities appear likely to result in improved access?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	- while graphed at it still penders - impliest PMVR peop to puill competersile
	conthem & PCPs - childfalden + pychibbrity which to records. Ry ht; con alt & PCPs paid anemat (report. 15
	sline to 4 cods; Ry ht; con alt & Perist
	paid anemat/report.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 7A.2.13.c) Did the bidder describe its experience under other contracts to ensure delivery of services to underserved communities when provider network capacity was initially found to be inadequate? Did the bidder's description of experience addressing initial network inadequacy for underserved communities in states where there was a shortage of psychiatrists demonstrate effectiveness? Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to addressing initial network inadequacy for underserved communities? 	the Fish Cod paperan - Integrated most homes Town Though pending belieble telebrated psychiatric aviss KS: entitled provide in me good of state & cover amos is other port of spile using in hime unite delikes model. Annual most follows to find pagers in removing only to the delivery of the model But Brite & Almuel when an kford - At model But Brite & Almuel arthur signal - Revery Revisery model - Bosic Gooding tt. At - nossy home warph citel endid.
 √7A.2.13.d) Did the bidder describe its experience implementing Medicaid managed behavioral health programs in which it successfully promoted the development of: psychiatric rehabilitation services? mental health self-help and peer support groups? peer education services? Does the bidder's description document its experience and success promoting the development of these three services and making them available to enrollees? Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to promoting the development of and implementing psychiatric rehabilitation services, mental health self-help and peer support groups, and peer education services? 	pay over 7 17 th trecipies DA) work of Physhother Rechos. 12ms. At what is Bu Center to Prehab; ratable Readien Them; is At - But that is. -peoretal without of pursyphot gaps. TLC-pur-an (SA in Yours.) President: tring of Teo peer upon specialists in At. President: tring of Teo peer upon specialists in At. Peely; our pass synut pecualist trashing counciden the 2009 reliese. I discliping Train The traine mobile sil adopt the EA.

7A 212 Possission of facility Devices National (Carlot EC 4 - (th. DCD)	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.13.e)	142- manage FAT Black Const finds. children's of its et mod;
Did the bidder describe its experience with contracts that include SAPT Block Grant funding?	Allate finds to probes is AZ allast touch arrears.
Does the bidder's description demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	
3. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to contract with provides for services funded by an SAPT Block Grant?	- Perify AT equits to impaid data willer han a. print pops (history to turns , - which reports , sometherms toggs. In our trackings of good likes: must be met is i gravituse. Value cares: impaid topy ? for howeless paper in final the la creat day / a path American for howeless paper in final the la creat day / a path American
7A.2.13.f)	61/2/19ton-not
Did the bidder describe its experience contracting with networks of comparable or greater size than those of the Iowa Plan within the timeframe afforded by this procurement?	TX build ats compath to IA- 6th wholly have be on The peringlemente from AZ trustions; & mor B allows ample from to book to me and I have
2. Does the bidder's description demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	+ on heijek no poucliss.
3. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to timely network contracting?	

7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)



Sub-Section Score (circle one):

Meets With Distinction

Meets Partia

Partially Meets

Fails to Meet

7A.2.14.a)

- 1. Did the bidder describe how it would actively manage quality of care provided by network providers of all covered service, including the Bidder's proposed methodology for conducting provider profiling and utilizing the profiles to generate quality improvement?
- 2. Does the content of provider profile reports for providers of child inpatient mental health services, providers of adult outpatient mental health services, and providers of Level II substance abuse services, appear to adequately capture the critical elements of the performance of each of those providers?
- 3. Do the reports contain indicators for performance which address clinical quality, access, utilization management, linkage with primary care physicians, and enrollee satisfaction, at a minimum?
- 4. Are the sample report content descriptions missing any major areas of provider performance one would expect to see in the report?
- 5. Is the timing of report distribution proposed by the bidder frequent enough to ensure that all provider and service types will be profiled and will receive reports at least quarterly?
- 6. Did the bidder describe explicitly how the bidder would interact with each provider following the distribution of each profile report?
- 7. Does the bidder's proposed approach for generating and facilitating improvement in the performance of each profiled provider seem like it will be effective?
- 8. Does the bidder's proposed approach include interactive communication between bidder staff and providers in which feedback is shared?
- 9. Did the bidder indicate how it would periodically assess provider progress on its implementation of strategies to attain improvement goals?
- 10. Did the bidder adequately describe its process for identifying areas of improvement with providers and setting improvement goals for priority areas in which provider performance falls below acceptable or benchmark levels?

Clinical Read Burya: Feel book from for early sevel to impart revery rentieng for of Indudustial Livile Alans, - also may include fortsheets on bust parties of Assembled Is granded. - asist billing alles a billing all lists to complete of claims about some some to perform to an lead to beste do to use to pobling! antely paping: it follows as it haten. - Compliants/quitances; cultural completing, acaile 5:115, filmelines + convenience of les, let meny 50.5. If paides who allested epiend 50% - mie And 1.7. Xn An cs/s/ Berchards to each istison will be desultan Is Mon Network Arenge.

Mon Network Arenge.

Adminimals: reductively:

Standard 1 th 1.00 has by. Adminimals: reductively.

Denials, Alus, Alotte (1);

Ited. 3 7 day flor ad., 201 Raitos 1 k. Souple Rep. 71: IP Kits - aid har graph of the Ast Comparisons according -Pencharak sample - UP - ontwell blockmak - 3

they with per 1+. we we openine of severity alon tom to

1A-orthography wirths play quate across A

7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)

Sub-Section Score (circle one):

Meets With Distinction

Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet

7A.2.14.a) (continued)

- 11. Did the bidder describe a process of frequent reassessment of provider performance on improvement goals, including face-to-face meetings with appropriately qualified bidder staff? Does it appear appropriate and likely to be effective?
- 12. Did the bidder provide examples for how provider profiling has been utilized to improve service delivery? Does the approach appear to have resulted in measurable quality improvement?
- 13. Did the bidder describe how it intended to reward providers that demonstrate continued excellence or dramatic improvement in performance over time and how the bidder would share "best practice" methods or programs with providers of similar programs in its network?
- 14. Did the bidder describe how it intended to penalize providers that demonstrate continued unacceptable performance or performance that does not improve over time?
- 15. Does the proposed use of rewards and penalties appear appropriate and meaningful for network providers?
- 16. Are the proposed methods for sharing best practices likely to support replication by other network providers?

Top to volume de-int had performere that.
Telplane flu & four have by Chrisol hard Gailor - discondsolo; binchoody, and 1445; only to injunt.

- Alread & hoely states is he mystery horroring

- Rolling you know of peoples which grates.

3 mm th annut.

- its like top a high and consenses in each passiles pepleaged - Jan Hu.

Revers: profess bushes - IP - get set & I pe-ath day 1. 5 UM pown.

Rende Serie Frenches when Consticu must PI's. andy Ymaths fellary for ed. Penal hos: Wi ta) sees - high level of the lauren Countee. Constatu Atm; ny new whereb; termination.

TARRANIA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.14.b)	GA, b th pands into rubb TA + Clinia)
 Did the bidder provide a description of how network management activities performed for other state clients that are comparable to those described in Section 5C.5? 	CA, Noth from the into mile TA + Clinico) Acings sens of train) & Mas issue: input prons: Accompation; Part Arties EBA.
2. Did the description convincingly convey that the bidder has effectively operated comparable network management activities for state clients?	Capetic alis stetuch sand (Real hour)
7A.2.14.c)	Ohio Akan Childer's Hospita! website touth the
1. Did the bidder provide copies of provider profiles employed for two clients?	Dus; Rentains; Pan. 03 delis of Cal.
2. Do the profiles demonstrate the bidder's experience and capacity to generate the type of provider profiles required by this RFP?	imperior to the RhIA.
Did the bidder describe measurable performance improvement that resulted from the provider profiles?	IN - Orte hum H. Ally aprished steholds
4. Is the bidder's demonstration of improvement resulting from the use of provider profiles credible and significant?	Defend Park - not what I expected a he as petils
7A.2.14.d)	Natural of accordable in early ofhere
The bidder describe how it would assure the accuracy of ISMART data submitted by the providers of substance abuse services comprehensive?	multy which of claims for accord
2. Is the proposed plan appropriate and likely to be effective?	readist training - come the Ochan - 30 dg pla.

serve t escalated stops - temator for and -

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 7A.2.15.a) Did the bidder describe experience in using data-driven evaluation of organization-wide initiatives to improve the health status of covered populations? Does the bidder possess meaningful, successful experience in using data-driven evaluation of organization-wide initiatives to improve the health status of populations? Did the bidder provide quantified, statistically significant evidence of improved: 	Van Complaine description to infrespective, introdipolicies, passes to Impering such in a composere & partie processes to ace regard defects). Apply acellish to explication of posses; parties and cold (1/5 for high acellish to explication) successor, successor, then my gale escaption, exploit, course ton, successor, then my gale escaption, exploit, course ton, successor, then my gale escaption from the partie. Use I partiall Am to - mit state of more manufact of more manufact of year to year. At - sp is me - in hold to - deller the state of the training training to the second training training training to the second training trai
3. Does the bidder's demonstrated experience indicate its capacity to implement such instruments in Iowa, and to make good use of the findings?	5# - Ok instam) elainisted by provides - sexolt to Copota- C. analyte dark - source provides + Dents.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Does the bidder describe an array of different methods by which consumers and family members would be proactively engaged by the bidder in the Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement program? Possible techniques that the bidder might have cited include: adding consumers and family members to bidder-sponsored quality improvement teams; using advisory groups or focus groups to advise the identification and design of possible improvement projects, and using surveys to elicit consumer and family members suggestions and/or feedback. Does it appear that consumers and family members would have a substantive role bidder in the Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement program based on 	Reining Adalog Committee - inget in to thirty of the somes (if plus (fami), consum this) I mannes, hereinsters - be payor to in the sort of the from ent to elever purche sort is for from ent to Input they his gritered poors, common songs, here graps, common to freen,
the bidder's response? 7A.2.15.d) 1. Did the bidder describe how it would use pharmacy data to improve quality, including to: • identify utilization that deviates from clinical practice guidelines for schizophrenia and major depression, and • identify those Enrollees whose utilization of controlled substances warrants intervention either because of multiple prescribers, excessive quantities or prescribing that is inconsistent with the clinical profile of the Enrollee. 2. Does the bidder's description demonstrate a good understanding of the use of pharmacy data for quality improvement and seem likely to be effective?	the preparation of the second of the desternance of the present of the present of the second of the

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
√7A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.15.e)	i) Transform yetem to recovery-invaded (2) P/7/BH interior
Did the bidder describe its identification of the greatest opportunities for quality improvement in public managed behavioral health programs like the Iowa Plan?	austratue arturnik -> CSPs . Zum Herson for pagara auste PH in y. Z. alike tol- consum
2. Does the bidder's description of the greatest opportunities for quality improvement indicate a profound understanding of public sector behavioral health programs?	-comme-Arlen (0-arlinded strated of the control hours of taken - who taken - who taken - days are of a control hours.
3. Are the opportunities consistent with what the Evaluator might identify as high priority opportunities?	da ja melis, kulturt - ours.
4. Are the quality improvement approaches described likely to result in improved function and well being for enrollees?	
5. Did the bidder describe approaches to realize two such opportunities in Iowa?	
6. Are the proposed approaches appropriate and likely to be effective?	
7A.2.15.f)	At protect other great little in Theor gods:
Did the bidder describe experience adapting policy or procedures based on input from publicly funded consumers and advocacy groups?	At protect other great little in Theologicals: upon content and: comming Norm concilonety - TH of meetings to protect them much lead from The dilesity.
Did the bidder convincingly document that these efforts have had a measurable beneficial impact on its members?	Comme Adorsy (concil; Raming Adors Com) - decly peritary for jumily the other region - mit + Dry Cout; Level is containly report. 184 pt 161
3. Do the bidder's references confirm that the bidder has used consumer and advocate input to shape policy and procedure and that this work has had a measurable impact on members?	Emply consumer which of Recing + Rentey Marie apply the server apply and well in apply news.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.15.g)	Granding unions hased your Ell Linking adiss, Cha im priviles
 Did the bidder describe the process by which the Bidder would conduct retrospective monitoring of all substance abuse service providers in accordance with Section 5.D.1.2? 	-m-s. Is record reviews = cashed rota in: ason, similares, emplanes, approaches if the in ESP; CPG - Remy mided coul et. cu. I hods.
 2. Does the description include: The source of the evaluation tool with which the bidder would assess the appropriateness of clinical services delivered? What actions the bidder would propose to take with a provider who it has determined does not deliver services or follow contract guidelines appropriately, both in the event of an initial finding and of a repeated finding? 3. Does the proposed process appear appropriate and likely to be effective? 	Compile detation of a CAPS comm.; RAL; CAC, IPAC. A - ASAM PAC-2R & Chart All the l. Ad by alts Bent for: from 1 perferment diskreps U Complete Solid Color Copies persh - Maryo Were the service of the service copies persh.
7A.2.15.g)	@ Augh with the tig Kendlation trustions to anyin.
 Did the bidder provide a copy of a 2008 QA plan that the bidder developed for a publicly funded client? 	O August 12 1) Kevelation trombune to angian. Sorifin fun tomicion.
2. Does the QA plan depict a comprehensive, well-designed approach to quality assurance and performance improvement?	on Mon Form At - comme desuption of infuntative - Gen committees - servicing of committees -
	MB, Sulfaly ek
	MB, Crehtaly etc.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.16 Prevention and Early Intervention (Section 4A.4.2 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Did the bidder describe the strategy that it will invoke in order to increase access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services? 	Aust Mapping; Shotegie Brens I'm Frankmik; CSP Not from & Modert
2. Is the strategy appropriate and likely to be effective?	will address harms of kick of cultibut han & a milks him. And Migning to impose account to hit the for putting to Et.
3. Did the bidder describe its experience in implementing such strategies under other contracts?	And Myping to improve account which the for politic him a let. And Myping to improve account which the politic him a let. Bridge have to dealing structured by the day of Bridge have to the hope of the seed lice! City home to new him his el local and.
4. If so, do the other programs appear to be well conceived?	Fine I entrand this has and oto him account the for the transmit
5. Was the bidder able to demonstrate that the programs had measurably affected changes improvements in access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services?	Emply Amitsa: stritging Francisco - you to A pulchan of whose Ainkey - comment reconstruct , trystal a train of selection.
6. Do the bidder's references confirm that the bidder has successfully implemented strategies to increase access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention services and that this work has had a measurable impact on members?	Ste lije mild - mil sraphie - sAm HA! Assen med; brild exact; direly string long; Toplum + pregram; Cicha He or terms

use Of return mohl - had appears to come.

It levert possion logion; enti-skignes + on hi-bullying for childrents; buth 101 training A school to sure for othe Janano, - simila & donkender promised.

At: SPF (v): mill. Dentroles Brem: - consunity volumers. - CAP Annal -> EBB -> mich/Again

Such Devoting gary Tribel Communities: At winds burnhin Galitar - gent tody - Mill Suitible Interest

b. Siperhiant decrease in suitibes among werther Amounts

Septim ey. addocuts 13 15

KS: I.C. (hype preprian _elimenter school chillen. _ elicator) agreem togethy helying, I sayone of MI, encurse kill treet up. I discipling referes.

Oymsthormall Periodel Persona Projon - - inty-fel has In in hotel Depression Isale. - Icon + cc stept in two his.

Valtis lith.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.17 Management Information System (Section 6.4 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.17.a)	Boundby Certae Cop 1981/ - Hasson Passont.
 Did the bidder describe in detail the management information system the Bidder would implement for the Iowa Plan? Did the description emphasize the way in which the MIS system would function to gather required data and produce required reports as well as providing detail on hardware capabilities? Does the bidder's response address all of the other requirements of Section 6.4 of the RFP? 	Boildby Certine (up 1981/ - Haspir Massignit.) 3007 IT pelessinis - solil friesd in public surter Then for things of not in Agasti ? many finding as world us. Pertia Manh Box: Engelisis (1) 242 Maxin, prosession (not mis) - Address Brind (not mis) Sounds Rint!
7A.2.17.b)	Dilm starter physicity
1. Did the bidder describe adaptations to its MIS which would be made to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided even if the Enrollee's Medicaid eligibility and Iowa Plan enrollment effective date were determined subsequent to the Eligible Person's month of application?	Honesy) Alerce d. Kno hoto enallmt symms - Report on claim hand - All, not claim foundingly
2. Do the bidder's proposed adaptations to its MIS to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided to enrollees whose eligibility and Iowa Plan enrollment effective dates were determined subsequent to their month of application appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	
7A.2.17.c)	Ecch rove sure held have it am wack dission
Did the bidder describe an adequate process to ensure appropriate allocation of reimbursement when:	on Arrisy 15 - only one orthe reliable man -
 i. services are being provided to a person who was a Medicaid enrollee and whose Medicaid eligibility terminated and the person then, during the same treatment episode, became a IDPH participant/ ii. services are being provided to a person who was a IDPH participant receiving services and, during the same treatment episode, became a Medicaid enrollee/ 	the claim dens that the long a coll-des-
2. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has been able to provide a management information system that meets the business needs of other publicly funded programs that are comparable to the Iowa Plan?	# claim will be make

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.18 Financial Requirements (Section 6.6 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.18.a)	variable out news tom anyotio
 Did the bidder disclose the financial instruments the bidder would use to meet the requirements of all funds and accounts required in Section 6.6 of the RFP? The requirements are that the Contractor must establish prior to the payment of the first capitation payment and maintain at all times, three accounts or funds as follows: an Insolvency Protection Account ,that must contain at all times, an amount equal to two (2) months of the anticipated annual Medicaid capitation amount; a Surplus Fund, in an amount equal to one and a half times the Contractor's average monthly Medicaid capitation payment; and Working Capital in the form of cash or equivalent liquid assets equal to at least three months' operating expenses. Did the bidder disclose the source of the capital required? Do the bidder's proposed instruments meet the requirements of Section 6.6 of the RFP and appear to be appropriate and adequate instruments? Does the bidder's source of capital appear to be sufficient and stable? 	Ditup Acets of Jaschery Pater dan But a logistical 15th of ay Milions dums. I working Comment Remarkant a 56 of cy.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.2.18 Financial Requirements (Section 6.6 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet			
7A.2.18.b)	wholly wender to of con (up (mid)) which is whill			
1. Dis the bidder demonstrate that its organization is financially sound?	wholly wend end of of con (up (m)) by which is a led on high owned so is it is of the log. I we had home by the content of the sound			
2. Do the bidder's financial statements and those of any corporate parent support its claims?	(intentio , tolyrent, Miss ospinish to)			
3. If the bidder is not financially sound, has it taken corrective measures to address and resolve any identified financial problems? Are these measures likely to be successful?	Copolio dio, not morte, in indepodet and the smiss-			
4. Does the bidder attach the most recent two years of independently certified audited financial statements of the bidder's organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable?	Confue 81.456 in courts; post on 1.16.1, to -			
5. Did the bidder provide its most recent three (3) years of independently certified audited financial statements of its organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable?	WINE TO Alin Hun of 25.4 m			
6. Do the audited statements reveal any financial problems, legal liabilities, or relevant corporate relationships that the bidder has not mentioned or that raise concern regarding financial stability, legal liability or corporate interests?	rejedjens, 1. tjehn a revuer.			
7A.2.18.c)	Remaind stay dispity downtrin -			
1. Did the bidder discuss what impact the recent declines in the stock market have had on the Bidder's financial stability, how the Bidder has responded, and any implications for the Bidder's ability to meet the requirements of this RFP?	prenselieu from antere 10/08 Glos - dies FM porthlis of cash & showsments			
2. Did the bidder demonstrate that recent stock market declines have not put in jeopardy the bidder's ability to meet the requirements of the RFP, including the maintenance of necessary liquidity?	e duith endut theraby and included.			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.2.19 Claims Payment by the Contractor (Section 6.7 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet			
7A.2.19.a)	Claims presently introluder: Centime myt Con			
Did the bidder describe the process it would implement to ensure compliance with the required time frames for claims processing?	Claims pressed by introlundar: Centime myt Con Blayer if &C (st kye Didges - queen myt. and Internal Claims and a -review daily maps			
2. Is the process consistent with the requirements set forth in Section 6.7 of the RFP?	3rd. wright down must of dams of			
3. Does the process the bidder would implement to ensure the bidder's compliance with the required time frames for claims processing appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	Ahritor - relace ever by purch in claim			
7A.2.19.b)	3 16-11,20			
1. Did the bidder describe its experience implementing contracts in which the claims payment process supported the accurate and timely payment of claims as of the first day of operations?	Bris corporist plan to early troublin despite land these. ED I prejam; fogs (lover, - scan & read to cont.) Adjulio him plat form growner 1.2 m closes as north.			
2. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has been able to successfully implement accurate and timely payment of claims as of the first day of comparable contracts?	Tythen Clien And. +			

Enterpole Bissen Juplims + him Jim
(uporch reserved - mings key implimentations.

(uporch reserved - mings key implimentations.

(uporch reserved - mings key implimentations.

profit that your and of firm

profit that your form for system

high land plan

implimentation, mings.

(which and distributions of male) cherk our.

of profit live arise of male) cherk our.

b) (1) the kind of d. Kally in pati timely racevite dosy me dein men; - all essel there Thuy a intend CIP?

3(07 I'm insertnes) in all personal & timely. —

allen all nen bishen in you hipt.

4 Perday refinemts for new makes; the house tooks.

4 Sino claims lind for tiving.

(whose min. ting post, inplimate pin for 3 min. A love of account.

4 301c forth children: 25,000 claims/min.

4/08 - exceeds forth for claims.

Justing post per line in flose. will some proces.

7A 2 20 Every Javed Abrees (Continue & Post the DED)	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.2.20 Fraud and Abuse (Section 6.8 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet			
7A.2.20.a)	world, Anna Ford/Bajon Into & Pan "Compliand			
Did the bidder describe how it will comply with the Departments' Fraud and Abuse requirements?	1(m.			
Did the bidder provide examples of how its internal controls successfully work to prevent Fraud and Abuse?	Edustris Trainis : paridos, etj. Al pero, whish blow peters ins			
3. Did the description completely address the requirements as defined within Section 6.8?	P/h) (i) extra / kill-face hot i. U Retrailed, -cm			
4. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	Patertin/quest the :			

Sacrio 18mg/ohrs band - though with to und at every. engthy Met minimally laths suspect unil

be equalled to Depts wifin (e.d.). Mischer

enth \$ Parento nonte of planton marios our + high impat; agent & month and wider; turnings; antification & Hot of charges in of July . class sall to samply . A -11 class. EDINOTED -- pully, one of 17 xm, enhalling. , Boron chient - (mplis in (uli) D. - specol considiosom. of Exemply of Layed sections: inhally 90862

79/10/14/14 of tinds: no appropriately 32

Bidder Name:	ep.2w
--------------	-------

7A.3 Corporate Organization and Experience --- 15% This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 15 pages. Does it exceed? Y/N?

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.3 Corporate Organization and Experience (Section 6.8 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.3.a)				
 Did the bidder provide the following information on all current publicly funded managed behavioral health care contracts? i. contract size: average monthly covered lives and annual revenues; ii. contract start date and duration; iii. general description of covered population and services (e.g., Medicaid AFDC + SSI, state-only population, mental health, substance abuse, state hospital, etc.); iv. the company or agency name and address, and v. a contact person and telephone number? Does the information indicate that the bidder has experience with contracts that are comparable in size and scope to the Iowa Plan? 	13 Ym			
3. Did the bidder include letters of support or endorsement from any individual, organization, agency, interest group or other entity despite the prohibition in the RFP from doing so?	NU			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.3.1 Organizational Information	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.3.1.a)				
 Does the bidder provide all of the following (as required by the RFP)? lists and organizational charts showing any and all owners, voting and nonvoting members of the Board of Directors, officers and executive management staff, including CEO, COO, CFO, Medical Director, UM Director, QM Director and MIS Director or equivalent functional personnel? the curriculum vitae for the aforementioned executive management staff? if the bidder is a wholly or partly owned subsidiary or partnership, a description of the legal, financial, organizational and operational arrangements and relationships between the bidder and its parent(s) and any other related organizations? an organizational chart depicting the bidder in relation to the corporations to which it is a subsidiary or partner? if the bidder has subsidiaries, a description of the legal, financial, organizational and operational arrangements and relationships between the bidder and its subsidiaries? 	Y.5			
an organizational chart depicting any subsidiaries in relation to the bidder?	177			•
2. Are any key positions vacant?				
3. Do senior officers appear to be appropriately qualified?	1/2			
4. Are there any apparent corporate relationships that would introduce a conflict of interest if the bidder were awarded the contract?				
5. If the bidder is a subsidiary or partnership, are the parent corporations or partners engaged in business activities that are complimentary to, and likely to provide long term support to, the bidder?	Y-97			
6. If the organization is a partnership, is the line of authority clearly delineated?	Y 27			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.3.2 Disclosure of Financial or Related Party Interest	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.3.2.a)				
 Does the bidder disclose any legal, financial, contractual or related party interests which the bidder(s) shares with any provider or group of providers, or provide a statement of no financial or related party interest? 	a <u>u</u>			
7A.3.2.b)				
 Does the bidder (and if the bid involves a partnership or another type of joint venture, any of the bidders) share a financial or related party interest in any provider or group of providers, does the bidder set forth a mechanism by which it proposes to prevent any preferential treatment to those entities with which it shares a financial or related party interest? If the response to #1, above, is affirmative, does this mechanism effectively prevent preferential treatment to those provider entities in which it shares a financial or related party interest? Is it likely that the bidder's mechanism will prevent the following situations which might indicate an attempt to ensure financial gain (from RFP Section 5C.3): a change of the distribution of referrals or reimbursement among providers within a level of care? referral by the Contractor to only those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? preferential financial arrangements by the Contractor with those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? different requirements for credentialing, privileging, profiling or other network management strategies for those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? distribution of community reimbursement moneys in a way which gives preference to providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? substantiated complaints by enrollees of limitations on their access to participating providers of their choice within an approved level of care? 				

7A.3.3 Disclosure of Legal Actions	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.3.3.a)	·····			
 As far as the evaluator is aware, did the bidder disclose all relevant information in response to the following RFP questions and requirements or make a statement that there is no applicable information (as required by the RFP)? During the last five years, has the bidder or any subcontractor identified in this proposal had a contract for services terminated for convenience, non-performance, non-allocation of funds, or any other reason for which termination occurred before completion of all obligations under the initial contract provisions? If so, provide full details related to the termination. During the last five years, has the bidder been subject to default or received notice of default or failure to perform on a contract? If so, provide full details related to the default including the other party's name, address, and telephone number. During the last five years, describe any damages, penalties, disincentives assessed or payments withheld, or anything of value traded or given up by the bidder under any of its existing or past contracts as it relates to services performed that are similar to the services contemplated by the RFP and the resulting Contract. Indicate the reason for and the estimated cost of that incident to the bidder. During the last five years, list and summarize pending or threatened litigation, administrative or regulatory proceedings, or similar matters that could affect the ability of the Bidder to perform the services contemplated in this RFP. During the last five years, have any irregularities been discovered in any of the accounts maintained by the Bidder on behalf of others? If so, describe the circumstances of irregularities or variances and disposition of resolving the irregularities or variances. The bidder shall also state whether it or any owners, officers, primary partners, or staff providing services of any subcontractor who may be involved with providing the services contemplated in this	NO MINIMI NO NO			

	Sul	o-Section Sco	ore (circle one):	
7A.3.3 Disclosure of Legal Actions	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.3.3.a) (continued)				
2. If the bidder disclosed that it, or one of its subcontractors, had defaulted on a contract or had a contract terminated for cause, and the project contact person was contacted, what was the explanation given for the problem and does it raise concerns regarding the bidder's qualifications as the State's Contractor?	N/A			
3. If the bidder disclosed that, during the previous five years, legal action was taken against the bidder or if any legal actions are pending, does the explanation and status update provided by the bidder alleviate any concerns regarding the bidder's qualifications as the State's Contractor?	NA			
4. If the bidder's current corporate configuration is related to mergers, did the bidder provide the requisite responses to the questions above for all components of the merged entities (as required)?	NA			

Bidder Name:	<u>(</u>	1124		

7A.4 Project Organization and Staffing - 15%
This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 10 pages.
Does it exceed? Y/N?

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):					
7A.4.1 Organizational Chart	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet					
Did the bidder provide an organizational chart that demonstrates: a) the bidder's corporate structure? b) the reporting relationship which staff assigned to the Iowa Plan would I with other parts of the bidder's corporate structure?	7° 7'7.					
2. Does the proposed reporting relationship between staff assigned to the Iowa Plar and other parts of the bidder's corporate structure appear appropriate and likely be effective? Does it appear that the Iowa Plan-assigned staff will receive sufficient corporate attention and support?	49					

	Sub-	Section Sco	re (circle one):	7
7A.4.2 Chart or Other Presentation	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
 Does the chart or other presentation provided by the bidder clearly show the following? a) every position which would be working on the Iowa Plan? b) the name and qualifications of the proposed Iowa-based individual who would have management responsibility for Iowa Plan operations? c) the reporting relationships between those positions? d) the credentials required of individuals to be hired for each clinical and management position? e) the office locations of each individual? 	45 45 K. Fan (C.). 400			
2. Do the types and numbers of staff to be assigned to the Iowa Plan appear to be sufficient in number and have the appropriate credentials?	7.3			***************************************
3. Are adequate resources dedicated to serving DPH Participants?	you as has an he discend.			
4. Is the staffing distributed appropriately given the allowable distribution of administrative costs to each funding stream (i.e., Medicaid 13.5% or less; DPH, 3.5% or less)?	Y2 "			
5. Are the UM, QA, claims and systems senior management positions appropriately qualified and reporting at an appropriately senior level of the organization?	Y».			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):					
7A.4.3 Chart or Other Presentation	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet		
 Does the chart or other presentation provided by the bidder clearly show the following? a) the subcontractors (excluding network providers) who would be working on the Iowa Plan? b) the responsibilities of those subcontractors? c) special skills of those subcontractors? d) the location of the office of each subcontractor from which they will provide their subcontracted services? If there is more than one subcontractor, does the number of subcontractors appear to be too large or to potentially hinder the bidder's successful operation of the program? Did the bidder propose to subcontract any functions that the evaluator believes are integral to successful program operation and should not be subcontracted? 	4> 4> 43. M					

	Sub-	Section Sco	re (circle one):	
7A.4.4 Financial Information	Meets With Distinction		Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
1. Did the Bidder provide the following information: • audited financial statements from independent auditors for the last three years. If the bidders did not have financial statements, did it provide a detailed explanation of why they are not available and provide alternatives that were acceptable to the Departments? • a minimum of three written financial references including contract information?				
2. Do the financial statements or alternative financial information demonstrate that the bidder has the financial wherewithal to serve as a stable partner to the state?				
3. Do the financial statements or alternative financial information raise any concerns about the bidder's qualifications to serve as the Iowa Plan contractor?				
4. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has conducted its financial business in an appropriate manner and is qualified, based on its financial practices and financial status alone, to serve as the Iowa Plan contractor?				

Bidder Name:	
Bidder Name:	

7A.5 Budget Worksheet and Narrative - 10% This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 3 pages. Does it exceed? Y/N?

	7A.5 Budget Worksheet and Narrative		Sub	-Section Sco	re (circle one):	
7,			Vith Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
1.	Does the bidder propose that the percentage of the Medicaid capitation payment allocated to the Medicaid Administrative Fund will be less than the RFP-specified maximum of 13.5%?	NO	(3.56			
2.	Does the bidder propose that the percentage of the IDPH payment allocated to the IDPH Administrative Fund will be less than the RFP-specified maximum of 3.5%?	NU	3.56			
3.	 Does the bidder propose using the Community Reinvestment Account fund on: services that would benefit eligible persons? services that the bidder has identified in response to 7A.2.6.b), 7A.2.13.b), or other questions within Section 7 of the RFP? (this question is to assess internal consistency within the bidder's response) 	Y->	Enlis intu	ln ve-e-tu	g fin inciden	

course on by on I su.

There syn t

- unkfow that - contriguen of

ortens + sheeking + whee shy-

×	/ 2	
Bidder Name:		
biddel Name.	C P N C	
	1	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):						
7A.6 Required Certifications	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet						
 Does the bidder include all the required certifications? (Y/N) RFP Certifications and Mandatory Guarantee Release of Information Mandatory Requirements and Reasons for Disqualification 	Y >> Y >>						

79		
Bidder Name:	Cepatico	
1		

	A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP) A.2.8.a)				Strengths and Weaknesses of the Response Submission				
	If the bidder attached guidelines for the application of ASAM criteria, do the guidelines the bidder would use for the authorization or retrospective monitoring of				Weakness: RFP references how Cenpatico will use ASAM but doesn't say how providers will use ASAM.				
**************************************	substance abuse services appear to be appropriate?				Weakness: RFP is integrated but almost to a fault. Hard to tell where one ends a other begins.				
***************************************					Strength: Reference	ces Recovery Oriented Sy	stem of Care often.		
	; ;				* Noted retrospecti residential.	ive reviews done on outp	eatient services but not on i	inpatient or	
	:								
					en v Fauna Primatera				
							· •		
							: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		
	:	•		·		•			
	;								
							·		
								•	

Cenpatico

Iowa Plan Reprocurement Evaluation

7A.2.18.a)

follows: first capitation payment and maintain at all times, three accounts or funds as requirements are that the Contractor must establish prior to the payment of the requirements of all funds and accounts required in Section 6.6 of the RFP? The Did the bidder disclose the financial instruments the bidder would use to meet the

Insolvency Protection Account Working Capital Surplus Fund

after a competitive bidding process. Yes, they stated that cash would be deposited in Iowa-based financial institutions

Did the bidder disclose the source of the capital required?

Yes, the initial capital resource will be from unrestricted cash reserves from Cenpatico and /or their parent organization.

RFP and appear to be appropriate and adequate instruments? Do the bidder's proposed instruments meet the requirements of Section 6.6 of the

Yes, the parent Centene has cash and cash equivalents totaling \$371 M

Does the bidder's source of capital appear to be sufficient and stable?

reviewed Yes, they have had a cash balance of over \$250M at year end of all three years

7A.2.18.b)

Did the bidder demonstrate that its organization is financially sound?

amount of unrestricted cash and Centene will unconditionally guarantee the condition of the contract. performance of Cenpatico on each and every obligation, warranty, covenant, and Centene Corporation has also shown that they are financially stable with a sizable Yes, Cenpatico has shown that they are financially stable. Their parent company,

Do the bidder's financial statements and those of any corporate parent support it's

billion in total operating expenses, and had working capital in excess of \$25.4 million. They also had \$666 million in current assets, which included \$379.1 December 31, 2008 Centene generated \$3.4 billion in revenue, incurred \$3.2 million in liabilities, and \$501 million in stockholder's equity. Yes, as of December 31, 2008 Centene Corp. had \$1.45 billion in assets, \$950 For the year ended

million in cash and cash equivalents. Their current ratios were 1.2, .9 and 1.0 for 2006, 2007, and 2008 respectively.

successful? and resolve any identified financial problems? Are these measures likely to be If the bidder is not financially sound, has it taken corrective measures to address

Cash,

de m

Z.

financial statements of the bidder's organization as well as the most recent two Did the bidder attach the most recent two years of independently certified audited years of the financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable?

independently audited financial statements for Cenpatico. Centene Corporation for 2006, 2007, and 2008. They stated they do not maintain No, they provided only the audited financial statements for the parent company

Did the bidder provide it's most recent three years of independently certified of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable? audited financial statements of it's organization as well as the most recent two years

independently audited financial statements for Cenpatico. No, they provided only the audited financial statements for the parent company Centene Corporation for 2006, 2007, and 2008. They stated they do not maintain

regarding financial stability, legal liability, or corporate interests? corporate relationships that the bidder has not mentioned or that raise concern Do the audited statements reveal an financial problems, legal liabilities, or relevant

real or potential financial reversals, which might materially affect the viability or No, at this time there are no judgments, pending or expected litigation, or other stability of this organization.

7A.2.18.c)

implications for the bidder's ability to meet the requirements of this RFP? on the bidder's financial stability, how the bidder has responded, and any Did the bidder discuss what impact the recent declines in the stock market have had

stability has remained strong and will not have any negative impact in their ability to meet the requirements of this RFP. Yes, despite the declines in the stock market, Cenpatico's and Centene's financial

jeopardy the bidder's ability to meet the requirements of the RFP, including the Did the bidder demonstrate that recent stock market declines have not put in maintenance of necessary liquidity?

Yes, at June 30, 2008. Cenetene had a diversified portfolio of cash and investments totaling \$709.9 million that currently puts the company well in excess of capital adequacy levels under pertinent state insurance regulations

(Enpa to)

for histilanenth in Ciris Aniss - my or SI mats - Ciris pleas on ind.

5. Henter Auge for inthation - les wills 19.

Ž.

is fet the fund perts - circle fle

- sy spenis - AsAm war i july (?) for cut 1y the

,bs

avery, I the serpine , mains, howover, (fam), enjoyens) Recons 18/13my County Will paids int int Mediports of Th MANOR

Competency according to the intrastration into dynamics, processor

5

S. Agre to transform grips to vecing with this scenarious dury, and in strong the services of your, the contrast to the contra

W. PMR " on nothy this pageon & grack paths to.

5. Pith Graphs forther fathers - sophishoted slitshol englys: - who typined! I the Graphs: - who typined!

5. CLUSTER RELY RAIN Men: feether to 7 recomp /milits flux . + ISPS / Clinical Princel. J. An colis will be publical

V. we eviden it praits of whom I is praftly the

V. Buchrevk rangle - averges i.e. arege on is pe-1 - retonnolitell)

for first outens Syrut extensis at Act

spoot fault to 6.15 h Fard ditetech sounder in lite it.
Enterin Fini) Enterin

menying his whom of reports do at estan months

.

44

Popling - Ciletraphie effect of poorly mounty extent, consumar substriction, our dis

Short experie . Act

Fail Wetgets sound in Get of expount freedly + 6-115

monging his whom chaques old not estan maying

S. They experied in many of the Bleck from I had . Ht. Anthorabe's Pyron; travity; months overlys onto.

Forcet It At Rid pages in Commony also I postern mit force to the 12 th. return Askus strtsy (Man-community (Mitc reventus) as CSB (Mill arius) - My, hoto = REIX) (MILE) naverin

" Hill (50 Netrak at addon) - Ferilably Mitthe I care than they net the that

W. I MUR prom to produce contrator to will the to

Herry Hought with much is my pertitively to the test of it of the test of its of the con test be opplied WW.?

.2